

In The United States Patent And Trademark Office

Applicant:	Wayne Boga et al.	Date:	November 4, 2008
Date Filed:	February 6, 2004	Docket No.:	METSO-19
App. No.:	10/774,084	Art Unit:	1794
For:	Seal Strip for a Suction Roll and a Method for Manufacturing It	Examiner:	L. Ferguson

---

Filed by Electronic Filing System

---

INTERVIEW SUMMERY

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant's representative contacted the examiner on October 21, 2008, about a non-substantive, procedural issue; namely, that the record did not appear to show that the amendment filed on March 14, 2008 had been entered as required by MPEP 1207.04 (¶ 1).

The claims were not discussed.


The Examiner indicated he would check into how the entry of the amendment should be reflected in the record.

The Examiner's Interview Summery mailed October 28, 2008, indicates "The after final amendment was automatically entered when prosecution was reopened." Applicant's Appeal Brief Renewed, Claims Appendix, reflects the entry of the March 14, 2008, Amendment.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required with respect to this communication, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 50-2663.

Respectfully submitted,

November 4, 2008

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Patrick J. G. Stiennon, Reg. No. 34934  
Attorney for Applicant  
Stiennon & Stiennon  
P.O. Box 1667  
Madison, Wisconsin 53701-1667  
(608) 250-4870